Properties of the vacuum expectation values in R_ξ and general gauge

Chungku Kim
Department of Physics, College of Natural Science, Keimyung University, Daegu 705-701, KOREA
(Dated: October 3, 2014)

We have investigated the renormalization group evolution and the perturbative expansion of the vacuum expectation value(VEV) of the Abelian Higgs model both in the R_ξ and the general gauge which extrapolate between the Fermi and the $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge. In R_ξ gauge, the gamma function of the VEV was different from that of the scalar field. On the contrary, the gamma function of the VEV was same as that of the scalar field in general gauge. Both in R_ξ and $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge, the two-loop VEVs have an IR divergences in Landau gauge($\xi = 0$) and these IR divergence do not occur when $\xi \neq 0$.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Bt, 12.38.Bx

arXiv:1410.0453v1 [hep-ph] 2 Oct 2014

Recently, the renormalization properties of the VEV in spontaneous broken gauge symmetry with R_ξ gauge[1] were investigated[2][3]. It was shown that the gamma function of the VEV (γ_v) have additional contributions originating from the scalar background field and as a result, γ_v was found to be different from that of the scalar field (γ_ϕ). Moreover, it was found that perturbative expansion of the effective action has an IR divergence in the Landau($\xi = 0$) gauge[4][5]. The advantage of the R_ξ gauge is that the mixing term between the gauge and the Goldstone fields in the quadratic part of the Lagrangian of the spontaneously broken symmetry phase cancels out with the gauge fixing term and hence the propagators between different fields do no exist. The $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge introduced by Kastening for some other reason[6] also has this property. In this paper, by using the Abelian-Higgs model, we will investigate the renormalization group(RG) function of the VEV from the RG invariance of the effective potential both in R_ξ and the general gauge which extrapolates between the Fermi and the $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge and then we will calculate the perturbative expansion of the VEV from the no-tadpole condition in order to test the IR divergence in this gauge.

We start with the Euclidean Lagrangian density of the Abelian Higgs model given by

$$L_{AH}(\Phi_1,\Phi_2,A_\mu) = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\Phi_1+gA_\mu\Phi_2)^2+\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\Phi_2-gA_\mu\Phi_1)^2+\frac{1}{2}m^2(\Phi_1^2+\Phi_2^2)+\frac{\lambda}{24}(\Phi_1^2+\Phi_2^2)^2+counter\ terms, \tag{1}$$

with

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\upsilon A_\mu. \tag{2}$$

where $f(A_\mu,\Phi)$ is the gauge-fixing function. When $m^2 > 0$, $L_{AH}(\Phi_1,\Phi_2,A_\mu)$ have a local $O(2)$ symmetry given by

$$\delta_\theta A_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta,\ \delta_\theta\Phi_1 = \theta\Phi_2,\ \delta_\theta\Phi_2 = -\theta\Phi_1, \tag{3}$$

and when $m^2 < 0, \Phi_1$ develops a VEV and the $O(2)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken. The Lagrangian density in the broken phase can be obtained by substituting Φ_1 with $H + v$ where the Higgs field H have a vanishing VEV.

A. R_ξ gauge

The gauge-fixing function of the R_ξ gauge is given in the broken symmetry phase of the theory as

$$f(A_\mu,\Phi_2) = \partial_\mu A_\mu - \xi g v\Phi_2, \tag{4}$$

and the total Lagrangian in the broken symmetry phase is given by

$$L_{BS}(H,\Phi_2,A_\mu) = L_{AH}(H+v,\Phi_2,A_\mu) + \frac{1}{2\xi}f(A_\mu,\Phi_2)^2 + \overline{c}\frac{\delta f(A_\mu^\theta,\Phi_2^\theta)}{\delta\theta}c + counter-terms, \tag{5}$$

where H is the Higgs field which have vanishing VEV and c and $\overline{c}$ are the ghosts. As noted above, the quadratic term $gv\Phi_2A_\mu$ in the $L_{AH}(H+v,\Phi_2,A_\mu)$ cancels out with that in $\frac{1}{2\xi}f(A_\mu,\Phi_2)^2$ and the propagator between Φ_2 and A_μ field does not exists in the total Lagrangian in the broken symmetry phase $L_{BS}(H,\Phi_2,A_\mu)$. In order to obtain the one-loop effective potential, we shift H with $H+\phi$ where ϕ is the classical field to obtain $\overline{L}$ defined as[7]

$$\overline{L} = L_{BS}(H+\phi,\Phi_2,A_\mu) - L_{BS}(\phi,\Phi_2,A_\mu) - \left[\frac{\delta L_{BS}(H,\Phi_2,A_\mu)}{\delta H}\right]_{H=\phi}H. \tag{6}$$

Then the quadratic parts of $\overline{L}$ in momentum space are given as

$$\frac{1}{2}HD_H^{-1}H+\frac{1}{2}(\ \Phi_2\ \ A_\mu\ )\begin{pmatrix} D_G^{-1} & B_\nu \\ B_\mu & D_{\mu\nu}^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi_2\\ A_\nu\end{pmatrix}-\overline{c}D_g^{-1}c, \tag{7}$$

where

$$D_H^{-1}=p^2+m_H^2, \tag{8}$$

$$D_G^{-1}=p^2+m_G^2+\xi g^2v^2, \tag{9}$$

$$D_{\mu\nu}^{-1}=(p^2+m_A^2)(\delta_{\mu\nu}-\frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2})+(\frac{p^2}{\xi}+m_A^2)\frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2}, \tag{10}$$

$$B_\mu=g\phi\ p_\mu, \tag{11}$$

and

$$D_g^{-1}=p^2+m_g^2, \tag{12}$$

with

$$m_H^2=m^2+\frac{\lambda}{2}(\phi+v)^2, m_G^2=m^2+\frac{\lambda}{6}(\phi+v)^2, m_A=g(\phi+v), m_g^2=\xi gvm_A. \tag{13}$$

Let us define $X_{\mu\nu}^{-1}$ as

$$X_{\mu\nu}^{-1}\equiv D_{\mu\nu}^{-1}+B_\mu D_G B_\nu. \tag{14}$$

By using Eqs.(9),(10) and (11) , we obtain

$$X_{\mu\nu}^{-1}=(p^2+m_A^2)(\delta_{\mu\nu}-\frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2})+\frac{D(p^2)}{m_G^2+\xi m_A^2}\frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{\xi p^2}, \tag{15}$$

where

$$D(p^2)=p^4+(m_G^2\ +2\xi gvm_A)p^2+\xi m_A^2(m_G^2+\xi g^2v^2)\equiv(p^2+m_+^2)(p^2+m_-^2). \tag{16}$$

Then the one-loop effective potential V_1 is given by [8]

$$V_1=-\frac{\hbar}{2}Tr\ln D_H^{-1}-\frac{\hbar}{2}Tr\ln D_G^{-1}-\frac{\hbar}{2}Tr\ln X^{-1}+\hbar\ Tr\ln D_g^{-1}. \tag{17}$$

By performing the one-loop momentum integral in $D\equiv 4-2\varepsilon$ dimension[9], we can obtain the renormalized one-loop effective action in the MS scheme as

$$\begin{aligned} V\ &=\ V_0+V_1=\frac{1}{2}m^2(\phi+v)^2+\frac{1}{24}\lambda(\phi+v)^4+\frac{\hbar}{16\pi^2}\{\frac{1}{4}m_H^4(\overline{\ln}\ m_H^2-\frac{3}{2})+\frac{1}{4}m_+^4(\overline{\ln}\ m_+^2-\frac{3}{2})+\frac{1}{4}m_-^4(\overline{\ln}\ m_-^2-\frac{3}{2})\\ &\quad+\frac{3}{4}m_A^2(\overline{\ln}m_A^2-\frac{5}{6})-\frac{1}{2}m_g^4(\overline{\ln}\ m_g^2-\frac{3}{2})\}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\overline{\ln}X\equiv\ln\frac{X}{4\pi\mu^2}+\gamma$. The effective potential is independent of the renormalization mass scale μ and should satisfy the RG equation[10]

$$\mu\frac{dV}{d\mu}=(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}+\beta_\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}+\beta_g\frac{\partial}{\partial g}+\beta_{m^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial m^2}+\gamma_\phi\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}+\gamma_v v\frac{\partial}{\partial v})V=0. \tag{19}$$

By using the RG functions in the MS scheme[11][12]

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_\lambda &= \mu\frac{d\lambda}{d\mu} = \frac{\hbar}{(4\pi)^2}(\frac{10}{3}\lambda^2 - 12\lambda g^2 + 36g^4) + \cdots, \\
\beta_g &= \mu\frac{dg}{d\mu} = \frac{\hbar}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{g^3}{3} + \cdots, \\
\beta_{m^2} &= \mu\frac{dm^2}{d\mu} = \frac{\hbar}{(4\pi)^2}(\frac{4}{3}\lambda - 6g^2)m^2 + \cdots, \\
\gamma_\phi &= \frac{\mu}{\phi}\frac{d\phi}{d\mu} = \frac{\hbar}{(4\pi)^2}(3-\xi)g^2 + \cdots.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Then, in order to satisfy the RG equation given in Eq.(19), we should have

$$\gamma_v = \frac{\mu}{v}\frac{dv}{d\mu} = \frac{\hbar}{(4\pi)^2}(3+\xi)g^2 + \cdots, \tag{21}$$

which agrees with [2][3]. The perturbative expansion of the VEV $v = v_0 + \hbar v_1 + \hbar^2 v_2 + \cdots$ can be obtained from the no-tadpole condition

$$\left[\frac{\delta V}{\delta\phi}\right]_{\phi=0} = 0, \tag{22}$$

as

$$\left[\frac{\delta V_0}{\delta\phi}\right]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0} = 0, \tag{23}$$

and

$$v_1\left[\frac{\delta^2 V_0}{\delta\phi\delta v}\right]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0} + \left[\frac{\delta V_1}{\delta\phi}\right]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0} = 0. \tag{24}$$

By substituting the effective potential given in Eq.(18) into Eqs.(22) and (23), we obtain the perturbative expansion of the VEV up to one-loop as $v_0 = \sqrt{-\frac{6m^2}{\lambda}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= -\frac{3}{\lambda v_0^2}\left[\frac{\delta V_1}{\delta\phi}\right]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2 m^2}[\ \frac{1}{2}m_H^2\frac{\partial m_H^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ (m_H^2) - 1) + \frac{1}{2}m_+^2\frac{\partial m_+^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ (m_+^2) - 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}m_-^2\frac{\partial m_-^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ (m_-^2) - 1) + \frac{3}{2}m_A^2\frac{\partial m_A^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln} m_A^2 - \frac{1}{3}) - m_g^2\frac{\partial m_g^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ m_g^2 - 1)\ ]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

From Eq.(16) one can see that by writing $m_\pm^2 = p \pm \sqrt{q}$, both q and $\frac{\partial q}{\partial\phi}$ goes to zero in the limit $\phi \to 0$ and $v \to v_0$ and hence $\frac{\partial m_\pm^2}{\partial\phi}$ as well as v_1 have finite limit in R_ξ gauge. Now, let us consider IR divergence of the VEV in the case of the two-loop effective potential[13][14] where the term which can give the IR divergence in effective potential V_2 and the VEV in case of the Landau gauge ($\xi = 0$) is[4][5]

$$m_X^2\ (m_G^2 + \xi g^2 v^2)\ \overline{\ln} m_X\ \overline{\ln}(m_G^2 + \xi g^2 v^2), \tag{26}$$

coming from the two-loop Feynman diagram

, (27)

where G is the Goldstone boson and X can be Higgs, gauge boson or ghost. Then, by noting that the mass of the Goldstone boson given as $m_G^2 + \xi g^2 v^2$ in the R_ξ gauge does not vanish in the limit $v \to v_0$ and $\phi \to 0$ when $\xi \neq 0$, the corresponding two-loop VEV obtained $[\ \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial\phi}]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0}$ does not have an IR divergence in R_ξ gauge as long as $\xi \neq 0$.

B. The general gauge

The general gauge is defined as

$$f(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu) = \partial_\mu A_\mu - u\xi g \Phi_1 \Phi_2, \tag{28}$$

which becomes the Fermi gauge when $u = 0$ and $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge when $u = 1$. The resulting Lagrangian in the symmetric phase is given by[6]

$$L_{SYM}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu) = L_{AH}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu) + \frac{1}{2\xi} f(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)^2 + \overline{c}(-\partial^2 + u\xi g(\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2))c + counter - terms. \tag{29}$$

When $m^2 < 0$, the $O(2)$ symmetry breaks down spontaneously and we substitute Φ_1 with $H + v$ where H have vanishing VEV to obtain the Lagrangian density in the broken phase $L_{BS}(H, \Phi_2, A_\mu, v)$ as

$$L_{BS}(H, \Phi_2, A_\mu, v) = \left[L_{SYM}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)\right]_{\Phi_1 \to H+v}. \tag{30}$$

Recently, we have shown that if the Lagrangian in the symmetric phase $L_{SYM}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)$ and that in broken symmetry phase $L_{BS}(H, \Phi_2, A_\mu, v)$ is related as in Eq.(30), we can prove that $\gamma_v = \gamma_\phi$ and the RG functions of the broken symmetry phase is same as that of the RG functions of the symmetric phase[15] [16]. Note that in case of the R_ξ gauge, the gauge fixing and the ghost terms given in Eq.(5) does not have this relation. In case of the $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge ($u = 1$), since the $g\Phi_1(\partial_\mu \Phi_2)A_\mu$ term in the $L_{AH}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)$ cancels out with that in $\frac{1}{2\xi} f(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)^2$ and only the $g\Phi_2(\partial_\mu \Phi_1)A_\mu$ term remains in $L_{SYM}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)$, the quadratic part of $L_{BS}(H, \Phi_2, A_\mu, v)$ do not have mixing term between Φ_2 and A_μ field as in case of the R_ξ gauge. In order to obtain the potential in the broken symmetry phase, we shift $H \to H + \phi$ where ϕ is a classical field to obtain $\overline{L}$ defined as

$$\overline{L} = L_{BS}(H + \phi, \Phi_2, A_\mu, v) - L_{BS}(\phi, \Phi_2, A_\mu, v) - \left[\frac{\delta L_{BS}(H, \Phi_2, A_\mu, v)}{\delta H}\right]_{H=\phi} H. \tag{31}$$

Then the quadratic parts of $\overline{L}$ in momentum space is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} H D_H^{-1} H + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_2 & A_\mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_{uG}^{-1} & B_{u\nu} \\ B_{u\mu} & D_{\mu\nu}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_2 \\ A_\nu \end{pmatrix} - \overline{c} D_{ug}^{-1} c, \tag{32}$$

where the inverse propagators D_H^{-1} and $D_{\mu\nu}^{-1}$ are given in Eqs.(8) and (10) and D_{uG}^{-1}, $B_{u\mu}$ and D_{ug}^{-1} are given by

$$D_{uG}^{-1} = p^2 + m_G^2 + \xi\ u^2 m_A^2, \tag{33}$$

$$B_{u\mu} = (1 - u) m_A p_\mu, \tag{34}$$

and

$$D_{ug}^{-1} = p^2 + \xi u\ m_A^2. \tag{35}$$

As in case of the R_ξ gauge, let us define $X_{u\mu\nu}^{-1}$ as

$$X_{u\mu\nu}^{-1} \equiv D_{\mu\nu}^{-1} + B_{u\mu} D_G^u B_{u\nu} = (p^2 + m_A^2)(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2}) + \frac{D_u(p^2)}{m_G^2 + \xi\ u^2 m_A^2} \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{\xi p^2}, \tag{36}$$

where

$$D_u(p^2) = p^4 + (m_G^2\ + 2\ u\xi m_A^2)p^2 + \xi m_A^2(m_G^2 + \xi u^2 m_A^2) \equiv (p^2 + m_{u+}^2)(p^2 + m_{u-}^2), \tag{37}$$

to obtain the one-loop effective potential V_1as

$$V_1 = -\frac{\hbar}{2} Tr \ln D_H^{-1} - \frac{\hbar}{2} Tr \ln D_{uG}^{-1} - \frac{\hbar}{2} Tr \ln X_u^{-1} + \hbar\ Tr \ln D_{ug}^{-1}. \tag{38}$$

Again, by performing the one-loop momentum integral in $D \equiv 4 - 2\varepsilon$ dimension, we can obtain the renormalized one-loop effective action in the MS scheme as

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V_0 + V_1 = \frac{1}{2}m^2(\phi+v)^2 + \frac{1}{24}\lambda(\phi+v)^4 + \frac{\hbar}{16\pi^2}\{\frac{1}{4}m_H^4(\overline{\ln}\ m_H^2 - \frac{3}{2}) + \frac{1}{4}m_{u+}^4(\overline{\ln}\ m_{u+}^2 - \frac{3}{2}) + \frac{1}{4}m_{u-}^4(\overline{\ln}\ m_{u-}^2 - \frac{3}{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4}m_A^2(\overline{\ln} m_A^2 - \frac{5}{6}) - \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 u^2 m_A^4(\overline{\ln}\ \xi u m_A^2 - \frac{3}{2})\}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The renormalization of the wave function for the scalar field can be done by extracting the $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ pole term from the Feynman diagram  by using the Feynman rules for the vertex $\Phi_1\Phi_2 A_\mu$ given as



$$= (1+u)g\ k_{1\mu} + (1-u)g\ k_{2\mu}, \tag{40}$$

and the propagators $D_{\mu\nu}$ and D_{uG} given in Eqs.(10) and (33) for the Goldstone field Φ_2 and the photon field A_μ. As a result, we obtain

$$\Phi_{1B} = \{1 + \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon(4\pi)^2}(3 - \xi + 2u\ \xi)g^2 + \cdots\}\Phi_1,, \tag{41}$$

and obtain the one-loop gamma function as

$$\gamma_{u\phi} = \frac{\hbar}{(4\pi)^2}(3 - \xi + 2u\ \xi)g^2 + \cdots, \tag{42}$$

which agrees with that of the Fermi gauge when $u = 0$ [11] and that of the $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge[6] when $u = 1$. Then, one can check that the RG equation given in Eq.(19) is satisfied with β_λ and β_{m^2} given in Eq.(20) and with $\gamma_v = \gamma_{u\phi}$. As in case of R_ξ gauge, we can obtain $v_0 = \sqrt{-\frac{6m^2}{\lambda}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \frac{1}{32\pi^2 m^2}[\ \frac{1}{2}m_H^2\frac{\partial m_H^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ (m_H^2) - 1) + \frac{1}{2}m_{u+}^2\frac{\partial m_{u+}^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ (m_{u+}^2) - 1) + \frac{1}{2}m_{u-}^2\frac{\partial m_{u-}^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ (m_{u-}^2) - 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}m_A^2\frac{\partial m_A^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln} m_A^2 - \frac{1}{3}) - \xi^2 u^2 m_A^2\frac{\partial m_A^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ \xi u m_A^2 - 1)\ ]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

From Eq.(37), by writing $m_{u\pm}^2 = p_u \pm \sqrt{q_u}$ we have

$$q_u = m_G^4 + 4\xi(u-1)m_A^2 m_G^2. \tag{44}$$

Then one can see that $[\sqrt{q_u}]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0} = 0$ and $[\frac{\partial q_u}{\partial\phi}]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0} \neq 0$ when $u \neq 1$ or $\xi \neq 0$ and as a result, $[\frac{\partial m_{u\pm}^2}{\partial\phi}]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0}$ diverges. Although $[\frac{\partial m_{u\pm}^2}{\partial\phi}]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0}$ diverges, since $[m_{u+}^2]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0} = [m_{u-}^2]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0} = u\xi g^2 v_0^2$, these divergence contained in $\frac{1}{2}m_{u+}^2\frac{\partial m_{u+}^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ (m_{u+}^2) - 1) + \frac{1}{2}m_{u-}^2\frac{\partial m_{u-}^2}{\partial\phi}(\overline{\ln}\ (m_{u-}^2) - 1)$ terms of Eq.(43) cancels out and the one-loop VEV v_1 converges for all values of u. In case of $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge we obtain

$$v_1 = -\frac{v_0}{32\pi^2}\{\lambda(\overline{\ln}(-2m^2) - 1) + \xi g^2(\overline{\ln}(-\frac{6\xi g^2 m^2}{\lambda}) - 1) + \frac{18g^4}{\lambda}(\overline{\ln}(-\frac{6g^2 m^2}{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{3})\}, \tag{45}$$

so that

$$\mu\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial\mu} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}(\lambda + \xi g^2 + \frac{18g^4}{\lambda})v_0. \tag{46}$$

By using Eq.(20) we have

$$\mu\frac{dv_0}{d\mu} = \frac{\hbar}{16\pi^2}(-\lambda + 3g^2 - \frac{18g^4}{\lambda})v_0, \tag{47}$$

and hence up to $O(\hbar)$, we obtain

$$\mu\frac{dv}{d\mu} = \frac{\hbar}{16\pi^2}(3+\xi)g^2 v. \tag{48}$$

which is consistent with Eq.(42) when $u = 1$. Finally, consider the IR divergence of the VEV in case of the two-loop effective potential. Since the mass of the Goldstone boson given by $m_G^2 + \xi m_A^2$ in $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge does not vanish in the limit $v \to v_0$ and $\phi \to 0$ when $\xi \neq 0$, the term

$$m_X^2 \ (m_G^2 + \xi m_A^2) \ \overline{\ln} m_X \ \overline{\ln}(m_G^2 + \xi m_A^2) \tag{49}$$

coming from the Feynman diagram given in Eq.(27) in two-loop effective potential and the resulting VEV coming from $[\ \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial \phi}]_{\phi=0,\ v=v_0}$ which can give the IR divergence in case of the Landau gauge ($\xi = 0$) where Goldstone boson is massless[4][5] do not have an IR divergence as long as $\xi \neq 0$.

In this paper, we have investigated the RG function and the perturbative expansion of the VEV of the Abelian Higgs model th in R_ξ and the general gauge which extrapolate between the Fermi and the $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge by requiring the RG invariance of one-loop effective potential. In case of the R_ξ gauge, the gamma function of the VEV that satisfy the RG equation for the effective potential was different from the gamma function of the scalar field. In case of the general gauge, the gamma function of the VEV obtained from the RG invariance of the effective potential was same as that of the scalar field. When $u = 1$ which corresponds to the $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge and $\xi = 0$ which corresponds to Landau gauge in general gauge, the one-loop VEV obtained from the no-tadpole condition do not have the IR divergence and give correct RG behavior. Both in R_ξ and $\overline{R_\xi}$ gauge, the two-loop VEV have an IR divergence in Landau gauge($\xi = 0$) and these IR divergence do not occur when $\xi \neq 0$.

[1] K. Fujikawa, B.W. Lee and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D**6** (1972) 2923; Y.P. Yao, Phys. Rev. D**7** (1973) 1647.
[2] M. Sperling, D. Stockinger, A.Voigt, JHEP **1307** (2013) 132.
[3] M. Sperling, D. Stockinger, A.Voigt, JHEP **1401** (2014) 068.
[4] J. Elias-Miro, J.R. Espinosa and T. Konstandind, arXiv:1406.2652 [hep-ph].
[5] S. P. Martin, arXiv:1406.2355 [hep-ph].
[6] B. Kastening, Phys. Rev. D**51** , (1995) 265.
[7] R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D**9** , (1974) 1686.
[8] S. H.Tye, Y. V. Karevsky, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A**13** (1998) 95.
[9] See for example, M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, , *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1995).
[10] C. Ford, D. R. T. Jones, P. W. Stephenson and M. B. Einhorn, Nucl. Phys. B**395** (1993) 17.
[11] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. B **222** (1983) 83.
[12] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. B **249** (1985) 70.
[13] C. Ford, I. Jack and D.R.T. Jones,Nucl. Phys. B 387, 373 (1992) [Erratum-ibid. B 504, 551 (1997)].
[14] S.P. Martin, Phys. Rev. D 65, 116003 (2002).
[15] C. Kim, J. Kor. Phys. Soc. **59**, 2993 (2011).
[16] C. Kim, J. Kor. Phys. Soc. **62**,1097 (2013).